Research Article

Nutrient removal from rainbow trout juveniles in fish ponds using integrated biofilter duckweed (*Lemna minor*) and freshwater mussel (*Anodonta cygnea*)

Azhar M.H.^{1,2*}, Memiş D.¹

1 Department of Aquaculture and Fish Diseases, Faculty of Aquatic Sciences, Istanbul University, Onaltı Mart Şehitleri Avenue, No. 2, 34134, Vezneciler, Fatih, Istanbul, Turkey

2 Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia *Correspondence: hanifazhar1811@gmail.com

Keywords

Lemna minor, Anodonta cygnea, Oncorhynchus mykiss, Living Biofilter, Aquaculture wastewater

Article info

Received: July 2023 Accepted: March 2024 Published: May 2024



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

Abstract

A biological filter (biofilter) is a technique that aims to degrade nutrients that are wasted in water bodies by utilizing living organisms such as plants and shellfish. The study aims to determine the potential of duckweeds (Lemna minor)-freshwater mussel (Anodonta cygnea) integrated biofilters in utilizing nutrients from wastewater from rearing rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) juveniles. This study used the initial weight of 25±2.0g of rainbow trout juveniles, freshwater mussels (A. cygnea) with an initial weight of 58 ± 2.0 g, and duckweeds (L. minor) with two different biomass weights (treatment 1: 270 g and treatment 2: 360 g) were used in the flowthrough system. The water temperature was around 13-14°C during the experimental periods. Using duckweeds as a biofilter with two different stocking densities significantly affected (p < 0.05) the pH, DO, nitrate, and total phosphorus values in the treatment tanks. This study's results also revealed that using duckweed-freshwater mussels integrated biofilters could minimize the concentration of ammonium and total phosphorus in wastewater from rainbow trout juvenile rearing tanks.

Introduction

The intensive application of aquaculture with artificial feed has increased the disposal of aquaculture waste. Aquaculture waste from leftover feed and metabolic waste (urine and feces) can cause various environmental problems when disposed directly into water bodies (Jones et al., 2001). The rest of the fish feed and solid waste will decompose through the decomposition process to form nutrient compounds, some of which are nitrogen compounds $(NH_3^-,$ NO_2^- . NO_3^{-}). phosphorus (P), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), and carbon sources (C) (Yusoff et al., 2011; Hlaváč et al., 2014; Salin and Ataguba, 2018; Dauda et al., 2019). Therefore, it is necessary to implement a sustainable cultivation system that is friendly to the environment.

One alternative that can be used in aquaculture waste management is to apply a biofilter system. Biofilter is a profitable biological waste treatment technique because it effectively treats waste from aquaculture activities and saves production costs (Shpigel and Neori, 2007). Several types of biofiltration can be used to treat aquaculture wastewater, including bacteria (Van Rijn, 1996), microalgae (Milhazes-Cunha and Otero, 2017); macroalgae (Hall and Martin, 2021), and suspension feeders (Zhou et al., 2014). Lemna minor is a macrophyte plant widely used in waste treatment because it can absorb nutrients in the water and rapidly grow (Landesman et al., 2005; El-Kheir et al., 2007). In addition, the Lemna minor plant is a type of floating macrophyte that can be used as a feed source because it has a protein content ranging from 28-43%, fiber (5%) in dry

weight, high concentrations of minerals such as phosphorus, potassium, xanthophyll, and carotene (Leng et al., 1995; Landesman et al., 2005). Lemna *minor* is also widely used in remediation methods to improve water quality. Bivalves are a filter feeder that effectively reduces the concentration of suspended organic matter in water bodies (Zhou et al., 2006). In addition, bivalves are an essential component of aquatic ecosystems and function as bioindicators of pollution in waters because of their ability to survive in various environmental conditions. They can accumulate multiple substances found in aquatic environments (Helmholz et al., 2016).

Research on the use of duckweeds in aquaculture activities has been carried out, such as its use as a source of additional feed nutrition in fish farming (Yılmaz and Günal, 2005; Olanivi and Oladunjove, 2012; Asimi et al., 2018); biofilters in aquaculture waste disposal (Sipaúba-Tavares et al., 2002; Paolacci et al., 2021); as a biofilter in fish tanks (Ferdoushi et al., 2008); Biofilter on the RAS system (Velichkova and Sirakov, 2013: Sumoharjo, 2015). Lemna minor is a phytoremediator plant found in tropical to subtropical areas with temperatures between 6-30°C and optimal growth temperatures between 20-30°C (Nafea, 2016). contrast, optimum In the temperature for growth of rainbow trout is between 12-20°C (Pepe-Victoriano et al., 2021). This study aims to determine the ability of the integrated biofilter between duckweed (Lemna minor) and freshwater mussel (Anodonta cygnea) to utilize nutrients from juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) rearing wastewater carried out at 13-14°C.

Materials and methods

The animal ethics guidelines were strictly followed in this experiment, and approval for our study procedures and methods was taken in advance from the Istanbul University Local Ethics Committee of Experimental Animals (IUHADYEK; 2022/19).

Experimental design

Fish rearing will be carried out using the flow-through system. Fish rearing was carried out in four round tanks with a water volume of $\pm 250L$. One round tank with a volume of 200L (sump tank); One round tank with a volume of 200L (sump tank);

Then, six rectangular filtration tanks with a volume of 100L ($200 \times 50 \times 10$ cm), which function as biofiltration media. Water was circulated using a water pump (5L min⁻¹). The water flow speed in the biofilter tanks was 45 seconds L⁻¹. The water well was channeled into Tank 1 (fish tank). To Tank 2 (sedimentation tank), then into Tank 3 (sump tank), then into Tank 4 (filtration tanks containing biofilter species: freshwater mussel and duckweed), and then out into Tank 5 (waste disposal tank) (Fig.1). The aeration system (26 m⁻³ hours⁻ ¹) is also added to the fish and biofiltration tanks. The average water flow speed in the living biofilter tank was approximately 35 seconds L⁻¹. This study used two treatments (T1 and T2) and three replications.



Figure 1: The layout of rearing rainbow trout fish with the flow-through system.

374 Azhar and Memiş, Nutrient removal from rainbow trout juveniles in fish ponds using integrated biofilter ...

The treatments given to the study were Lemna minor biomass weights (T1: 270g and T2: 360g) with a large cover area used approximately 75% of the area of the biofiltration tank in all treatments. The freshwater mussels to be used had a stocking density of 30 individuals/ m² with an average weight of 58±2.0g in T1 and T2 (Retnosari et al., 2019). The freshwater mussels were collected from the Masukiye Stream in Sapanca. The duckweed used was obtained from the Sapanca Lake. Then, the duckweed and freshwater mussels were adapted for six days in rearing tanks before the experiment. During the study, irradiation was also carried out on macrophytes with a ratio of light and dark conditions of 10:14 hours. The process will be provided using an LED lamp (HYG05) with a light intensity of around 6600lux.

Fish stocking and management

The research was conducted at the Aquaculture Laboratory in the Istanbul University Aquatic Vertebrate Live Experiment Unit (İÜSUCAN) Sapanca. The rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) juveniles to be used have an average size of 25±2.0g with a stocking density of 15 kg m⁻ ³ (Çelikkale *et al.*, 1999). Fish maintenance was carried out for 30 days. During the experiment, fish were fed using commercial extruder pellets of 3% fish body weights daily (Kamalam et al., 2020). Feeding is given twice daily, in the morning (08.00)and afternoon (16.00). The proximate composition in the commercial rainbow trout extruded diets can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1:	Proximat	e compos	sition of ra	ainbow
trout	juveniles'	diet (3 m	ım pellet s	ize).

Proximate composition	Contents (%)
Crude protein	46.0
Crude fibre	1.9
Lipid	18.0
Ash	10.2

Water quality measurements

Water was brought to the experimental unit by passing through a mechanical filter and a UV filter to use in this system. Water quality sampling was carried out in the morning before the feeding process. Measurements of temperature, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen (DO) were carried out in situ using a multiparameter instrument (WTW Multiparameter 3620 IDS) and TDS Dissolved (Total Solids) using а (ISO multiparameter tool lab multiparameter). Measurements of Ammonium (NH₄⁺), Nitrite (NO₂⁻), Nitrate (NO₃⁻), Total Phosphorus (T. Phosphorus), and Silica dioxide (SiO₂) were measured once every ten days using the APHA (2017).

Growth performance parameters of fish

A sampling of fish and mussel growth parameters was carried out once every ten days. At the same time, the sampling of *L*. *minor* growth was carried out at the beginning (day 0), mid (day 15), and late (day 30). Parameters measured in fish during the study included Survival Rate (SR), Weight Gain (WG), Total Biomass (TB), and Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR). In comparison, the test parameters measured on mussels were Average Body Weight (ABW), Survival Rate (SR), Specific Growth Rate (SGR), and Total Biomass (TB). Parameters measured in duckweed were the average fresh biomass of initial and final biomass, Relative Growth Rate (RGR), and the percentage of increase and decrease in biomass during the rearing periods.

Data analysis

Data were presented as mean value and standard deviation (±SD). The data obtained were processed using the Excel workshop program and tested for normality and homogeneity. Then, the data were analyzed statistically using an independent sample t-test. Statistical comparisons were evaluated with the IBM SPSS software 20th version for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Based on the results of 30 days of maintenance, water quality data were obtained in the filtration media with different treatments, which can be seen in Table 2. Table 2 shows that using duckweed plants with different wet biomass influences water quality. During the 30 days of rearing, DO, nitrate, and T. Phosphorus concentration values in the T1 treatment showed a significant difference (p < 0.05) compared to the T2 treatment on day 10 and day 20. At the same time, nitrate T. Phosphorus values and show significantly different values at T1 compared to T2 on day 20 and day 30. The results of ammonium, nitrite, nitrate, T. Phosphorus, and silica dioxide measurements carried out every ten days on the rearing medium can be seen in Figure 2.

Results

Water quality data between the treatments

Table 2: The mean water quality (Temperature, pH, DO, TDS, ammonium, nitrite, nitrate, T. phosphorus, and Silica dioxide values in biofiltration tanks with different duckweed treatments during the 30-day maintenance period.

Water parameters	T1 (270 g)				T2 (360 g)			
water parameters	Day-0	Day-10	Day-20	Day-30	Day-0	Day-10	Day-20	Day-30
Temperature (°C)	13.64 ± 0.05	13.72±0.03	13.53 ± 0.05	13.41±0.08	13.66±0.57	13.70 ± 0.05	13.47±0.11	13.50 ± 0.10
pH	7.73±0.06	7.83 ± 0.02	7.84±0.03*	7.69 ± 0.02	7.77 ± 0.04	7.85 ± 0.02	7.92 ± 0.02	7.66 ± 0.01
DO (mg L ⁻¹)	9.70±0.03	9.82±0.02	9.34±0.03	9.72±0.03	9.67 ± 0.05	9.82±0.03	9.47±0.03*	9.73±0.15
TDS (mg L ⁻¹)	166.0 ± 5.0	218.8±1.4	217.0±5.0	213.2±1.1	166.0±7.0	218.7±1.0	217.5±1.1	213.6±2.2
Ammonium (µg L ⁻¹)	279.3±0.0	198.2±16.2	25.5±8.9	22.4±9.8	274.3±0.0	195.6±10.4	24.7±5.6	24.7±7.0
Nitrite (µg L ⁻¹)	1.7±0.0	15.2±7.7	9.7±1.0	27.4±7.0	1.9±0.0	13.6±4.6	10.4±0.6	16.7±4.9
Nitrate (µg L ⁻¹)	692.6±0.0	759.1±115.0	800.5 ± 83.4	895.8±7.4	784.6±0.0	766.1±82.5	788.5±51.0	$849.4{\pm}4.0{*}$
T. Phosphorus (µg L-1)	27.2 ± 0.0	24.1±4.3*	33.8±37.1	31.9±4.4	37.7±0.0	47.6 ± 29.0	$14.4{\pm}1.0*$	20.9±1.7*
SiO ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	5.4±0.0	5.6±0.1	5.8±0.1	5.2±0.1	5.4±0.0	5.5±0.0	5.8±0.1	5.1±0.1

DO: Dissolved oxygen; TDS: Total dissolved solids; SiO₂: Silica dioxide. Mean values (± Standard deviation)

Based on the maintenance period, the ammonium value decreased at the end of the maintenance period in all treatments. The nitrite value fluctuated during the 30 days of the rearing period in all treatments but tended to increase at the end of the rearing period.

Water quality in all tanks

The average value of water quality obtained from the 30-day maintenance period for all experimental tanks can be seen in Table 3. Based on Table 3. The average DO in the fish tank is lower than in other tanks. The average value of ammonium in the disposal pond is higher than in different tanks. Nitrite values fluctuate, with the lowest values found in fish-rearing tanks. The same thing is also found in nitrate values, which fluctuate but tend to decrease in the disposal pond. The T. Phosphorus value also showed a decrease in the waste pond. The average SiO_2 values were stable in all study tanks during the 30 days of the rearing period.



Figure 2: The values of ammonium, nitrite, nitrate, T. Phosphorus, and silica dioxide during 30 days of rearing. Vertical bars indicate the standard error (n=3). "*" indicates a significant difference (p<0.05). Error bars are the standard error of the mean (n = 3) for all panels.

Growth parameters of trout juveniles, duckweed, and freshwater mussel

From the 30-day rearing period, data on the growth of rainbow trout juveniles were obtained, as seen in Table 4. The growth parameters of freshwater mussels and duckweed carried out during the 30-day

rearing period can be seen in Tables 5 and 6. Based on the rearing period, which was carried out for 30 days, it was shown that the kept freshwater mussel had an SGR of between 0.05-0.08% with a final average weight of $60.07\pm0.58g$ (T1) and $58.90\pm0.88g$ (T2).

	Experimental tanks average (Min-Max)						
Water parameters	Well water	Fish tank	Sump tank	T1	T2	T1 Waste disposal	T2 Waste disposal
Temperature (°C)	13.3	13.5	13.5	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6
	(13.2-13.6)	(13.2-13.8)	(13.3-13.7)	(13.4-13.8)	(13.5-13.8)	(13.3-13.8)	(13.4-13.9)
рН	7.7	7.5	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8
	(7.2-7.9)	(7.3-8.0)	(7.4-8.0)	(7.4-8.0)	(7.4-8.0)	(7.7-7.9)	(7.7-7.9)
$DO (mg L^{-1})$	9.6	8.4	8.5	9.7	9.7	9.7	9.6
	(9.6-9.9)	(7.3-9.0)	(7.7-9.6)	(9.0-10.1)	(9.1-10.1)	(9.2-9.9)	(9.3-10.0)
TDS (mg L ⁻¹)	201	201	195	203	203	202	202
	(163-216)	(166-216)	(165-217)	(166-219)	(166-218)	(166-218)	(166-218)
Ammonium (µg L ⁻¹)	77.2	132.2	91.7	145.4	137.1	151.6	142.2
	(12.9-184)	(12.9-226)	(30.8-202)	(51.1-279)	(25.4-274)	(30.8-268.7)	(30.8-275.9)
Nitrite (µg L ⁻¹)	1.56	0.86	2.11	6.9	6.3	5.0	3.5
	(0.4-3.0)	(0.4-1.2)	(0.0-4.6)	(1.0-15.2)	(0.0-13.6)	(1.9-8.1)	(1.3-6.4)
Nitrate (µg L ⁻¹)	598	786	739	768	790	742	743
	(118-822)	(725-822)	(646-803)	(693-820)	(766-819)	(674-803)	(649-813)
T. Phosphorus ($\mu g L^{-1}$)	9.2	13.5	16.5	25.0	29.2	17.5	18.7
	(3.5-20.4)	(3.5-20.4)	(8.3-21.1)	(15.0-33.8)	(14.4-47.6)	(8.3-25.2)	(8.3-23.9)
$SiO_2(mg L^{-1})$	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
	(5.4-5.8)	(5.3-5.8)	(5.4-5.7)	(5.3-5.8)	(5.4-5.8)	(5.4-5.7)	(5.4-5.7)

Table 3: The average water quality (temperature, pH values, DO, TDS, ammonium, nitrite, nitrate, silica
values in rainbow trout rearing tanks using the flow-through system.

DO: Dissolved oxygen; TDS: Total dissolved solids; SiO₂: Silica dioxide.

Table 4:	Data on	the ave	erage	growth	of rainbow
	trou	t durin	σ the '	30 reari	na dave

trout during the correaring duyst				
Growth parameters	Rainbow trout			
Initial Weight (g)	25.74±0.46			
Final Weight (g)	59.99 ± 1.74			
SR (%)	100.00 ± 0.00			
Weight gain (g)	34.24±2.10			
SGR (% day-1)	2.82±0.15			
Final Biomass (g tank-1)	8313±24			
Total Feed (g tank ⁻¹)	3631±16			
FCR	0.82 ± 0.07			

SR: Survival rate; SGR: Specific growth rate; FCR: Feed conversion ratio. Mean values (±Standard deviation).

The 30 days of maintenance showed that the final percentage of fresh biomass of duckweeds in both treatments showed a decreasing trend. Relative growth occurred in treatment T1 but not in treatment T2. The final fresh biomass of the duckweed in all treatments experienced a decrease in fresh biomass of 23.24% (T1) and 19.86% (T2). The reduction in the percentage of new biomass from duckweed during the maintenance period can be seen in Figure 3. Figure 3 shows that all fresh biomass from duckweed decreased until the end of the 30-day rearing period. Although, in general, it declined, the percentage of fresh biomass in the T1 increased by 2.25% from 74.51% (day 15) to 76.76% (day 30). Meanwhile, T2 decreased until the 30th day, with a biomass percentage value of 80.14% (30th day). Overall, the T1 experienced a more significant decrease of 23.24% compared to the T2 (19.86%).

Table 5: Average growth of mussels during 30 days of the rearing period.					
Growth parameters	T1 (270 g)	T2 (360 g)			
Initial weight (g)	58.72±1.21	57.97±1.49			
Final weight (g)	60.07±0.03	58.90 ± 0.88			
Survival rate (%)	100±0.0	100±0.0			
SGR (% day-1)	0.08 ± 0.07	0.05 ± 0.04			
COD Grand Control Date Manual and Control De Settion					

SGR: Specific Growth Rate. Mean values (± Standard Deviation).

Table 6. Duckweed fresh biomass during the rearing period

Table 0. Duckweeu fresh blomass during the rearing period.						
Variable	T1 (270 g)	T2 (360 g)				
Initial fresh biomass (g)	270±0.0	360±0.0				
Final fresh biomass (g)	226±9.4	320±19.4				
RGR (day ⁻¹)	0.002 ± 0.00	-0.005 ± 0.01				
Percentage of fresh biomass (%)	83.8±10.1	88.9 ± 8.5				
Percentage of decreased fresh biomass (%)	23.2±6.3	19.9±4.1				





Figure 3: The percentage of fresh biomass of duckweed on days 0, 15, and 30 of the rearing periods based on different treatments (T1: 270 g and T2: 360 g).

Discussion

The water temperature during the study ranged between 13.4-13.8°C in all biofilter tanks, which is the optimal temperature for freshwater mussels but not for duckweed. The optimal temperature for the growth of early adult freshwater mussels grows optimally at 14°C in their natural habitat (Lima et al., 2004). Meanwhile, the optimal temperature for maximum growth of Lemna plants. Ranges between temperatures of 19-30°C (Nafea, 2016). Temperature conditions that are not optimal will cause plants to experience a decrease in average growth and can even stop growing and reduce duckweed biomass (Culley *et al.*, 1981). Some types of duckweed will experience a reduction of average growth and biomass when it is at temperatures below 17°C and stops growing at temperatures below 8°C and above 35°C. The decrease in temperature also affects the clones produced (Culley *et al.*, 1981; Zimmo *et al.*, 2005; Lasfar *et al.*, 2007; Paterson *et al.*, 2020).

The pH values during the study in all biofilter tanks ranged from 7.69-7.84 in T1 and 7.66-7.92 in T2 (Table 2). The average

pH value decreased at the end of the maintenance period in both treatments. The chlorosis process began on the 10th day of the rearing period and continued until the end. This reduction in biomass can be caused by less than the optimal water temperature and a fluctuating pH value during the maintenance period. Duckweed has a wide tolerance for pH, which varies with values between 3.5 and 9.0. However, the ability to tolerate it depends on the type of species. The optimal pH value for growth is 6.5-7.5 (Leng et al., 1995; Hasan and Chakrabarti, 2009; Nafea, 2016). The pH value of water affects the ratio of ammonia and ammonium in wastewater (Paterson et al., 2020). Increasing the pH value of water also impacts shifting the ammonium concentration towards ammonia (Tchobanoglous *et al.*, 2003). High ammonium content in water can also disrupt the process of transporting anions into the duckweed membrane, thereby inhibiting duckweed growth (Caicedo et al., 2000).

DO values during the study period showed significantly different values (p < 0.05) between T1 and T2. DO levels on T1 showed a lower value than on T2 on the 10th day. However, overall, it indicates that the DO value between the two treatments was similar. The decrease in DO occurs on the 10th day between the two treatments. This can be caused by the high content of organic matter originating from the duckweed plant, which dies and settles at the bottom of the tank, thereby affecting the consumption of microorganisms in the decomposition of organic matter. Although, in general, the DO value is still in optimal conditions for fish maintenance. In mineralization, microbial respiration can increase water oxygen absorption (Bianchini *et al.*, 2011; Boyd, 2015).

The use of duckweed and freshwater mussels as integrated biofilter species on rainbow trout juvenile rearing for 30 days has been shown to absorb nitrogen sources, especially ammonium. At the same time, the nitrate concentration in the duckweed tanks in the two treatments fluctuated but tended to rise at the end of the rearing period. The following research results from Velichkova and Sirakov (2013) and Paolacci et al. (2021) show that L. minor plants are faster at utilizing nitrogen in the form of ammonium than in the form of nitrate, which is easier for *L. minor* plants to convert into protein. Hasan and Chakrabarti (2009) state that L. minor will utilize all available ammonium before assimilating nitrate. Several studies have shown that Lemneacea utilizes ammonium as a nitrogen source more than nitrate (Culley et al., 1981; Cedergreen and Madsen, 2002). However, research by Paterson et al. (2020) showed that L. minor absorbs nitrate better than ammonium for growth. Lemnaceae is a type of plant that can utilize nitrogen in the form of nitrite, nitrate, ammonium, urea, and various amino acids. However, ammonium and nitrate are generally the primary nitrogen sources (Ardiansyah, 2018).

The nitrite and nitrate concentrations in the duckweed plant tanks in both treatments fluctuated but tended to increase at the end of the maintenance period. The results of this study were also obtained by Velichkova and Sirakov (2013) and Muvea *et al.* (2019), who showed an increase in nitrite and nitrate concentrations at the end of the maintenance period. The increase in nitrite and nitrate in waters can be caused by environmental factors such as temperature and biological factors such as the aging process of plants and chlorosis that occurred during the research period. In the opinion of Kuschk et al. (2003) and Akratos and Tsihrintzis (2007), stated that the activities of bacteria and vegetation, which are responsible for nitrogen removal, cannot function properly below а temperature of 15°C. Meanwhile, according to Lee et al. (2009), the concentration of nitrite and nitrate will decrease over time because macrophyte plants can use it to form biomass, but the concentration can increase due to the mineralization of ammonia and nitrogen as well as the reaction of nitrogen with dissolved oxygen in the wastewater.

During the 30-day maintenance period, there were significantly different (p < 0.05) results in T. Phosphorus absorption between the two treatments. Treatment T2 was more effective in utilizing total phosphorus than treatment T1. Duckweed is one type of plant that has an excellent ability to absorb the nutrients contained in water bodies. The removal rate of duckweed in absorbing nutrients is 2.1 g m⁻ 2 d⁻¹ for total nitrogen (TN) and 0.6 g m⁻² d⁻ ¹ for T. Phosphorus (Cheng *et al.*, 2002). Although the L. minor can remove phosphorus in water, it depends on the water temperature. During the winter season, the ability of macrophyte plants decreases seasonally, with the lowest phosphorus removal efficiency in winter and the highest in spring (Kalengo et al., 2021). L. minor removed the phosphorus in the rearing medium within four days at 25°C. Whereas at 8°C, the *L. minor* could absorb half of the phosphorus found in the rearing medium. Removing phosphorus will continue even though conditions cannot support biomass formation in *L. minor*. In addition, the removal of phosphorus is also influenced by the presence of nitrate (Paterson *et al.*, 2020).

Silica is an essential element in macrophytes, especially in some species. The value of SiO_2 in the duckweed tanks in both treatments continued to increase until the end of the rearing period. The availability of silica in the rearing media helps plants absorb nutrients and increases their tolerance to abiotic and biotic stress (Olle, 2017). Khondker *et al.* (1994), found a positive correlation between *L. gibba* biomass and phosphate and silicate concentrations.

Water quality in all experimental tanks

Based on Table 3. Shows that the water temperature, pH value, and TDS values were stable in all experimental tanks until the end of the maintenance period. The water temperature during the study period ranged between 13.3-13.6°C. It was the optimal temperature for the growth of juvenile rainbow trout and freshwater mussels but not optimal for the development of duckweed plants. The optimal temperature for rainbow trout ranges from 10-14°C (Gall and Crandell, 1992). The average pH value in all ponds is in standard and slightly alkaline conditions, between 7.5 and 7.8. According to Hinshaw (2000), the optimal pH range for rearing rainbow trout is 6.5-8.5. Meanwhile, for freshwater mussels, based on the research results of Lopes-Lima et al. (2014), the range of pH values during the research period in the natural habitat of Anodonta cygnea mussels ranged from 6.6 to 7.4. TDS values on all maintenance tanks are still in average condition. According to Summerfelt (2000), freshwater fish can tolerate the TDS value of less than 400 mg L^{-1} . The DO content in fish tanks is lower than in other tanks. However, it remains in optimal conditions for rearing rainbow trout. Barton (1996) states that the optimal DO concentration for cultivating rainbow trout is more than 5 mg L^{-1} . This study also follows the results of Ferdoushi et al. (2008) and Velichkova and Sirakov (2013), which show that adding duckweed plants to ponds can increase oxygen concentrations. The ammonium value in the disposal tank is higher than in other tanks. The influence of pH and water temperature can cause this. Ammonium concentration in water depends on the pH value and temperature, where ammonium will be more dominant at low pH and water temperature (Hargreaves and Tucker, 2004).

Nitrite values in all rearing tanks tended to fluctuate, with the lowest value found in fish-rearing tanks. However, the nitrite value in all tanks is still within optimal limits for rainbow trout juvenile-rearing activities. is Nitrite an essential intermediary product in nitrification and denitrification processes (Kroupova et al., 2005). The concentration of nitrite in the waters tends to increase during winter and spring due to fluctuating temperatures, which causes the activity of plankton or bacteria to decrease (Durborow et al., 1997). The nitrate and T. Phosphorus parameters in each pond have different values but show a decrease in the disposal

tank compared to the treatment tank. The low nitrate and T. Phosphorus values in the disposal tank can be caused using nitrate and T. Phosphorus by microorganisms and *L. minor* plants. Nitrate and ammonium are sources of N and phosphorus used by phytoplankton and aquatic plants for growth (Walstad, 1999; Boyd, 2015).

The average SiO₂ concentration value tends to increase until the end of the rearing period in all experimental ponds with a range of 5.3-5.8 mg L⁻¹. Silica is essential in fish farming activities, especially shrimp because it is needed by phytoplankton, especially diatoms, for growth (Boyd, 2003) and can strengthen stems and leaves in higher plants (Boyd, 2014). The source of silica during the research was obtained naturally from water entering the pond. In conventional shrimp cultivation, the source of silica can come from pond bottom sediments (Cremen, 2007); however, in super-intensive cultivation using a plasticlined pond system, the primary source of silica only comes from the intake water (Emerenciano et al., 2022). The average silicon concentration in freshwater in SiO₂ ranges from 5-25 mg L^{-1} (Boyd, 2014). SiO₂ affects plant growth and increases tolerance to unfavorable environmental conditions (Kara et al., 2022).

During the 30-day rearing period, juvenile trout experienced growth with an average SGR value of 2.82±0.15%/day (Table 4). Rainbow trout grow optimally at temperatures between 7-18°C from seed to harvest size. The amount of food in rainbow trout increases with increasing temperature. However, trout's appetite decreases when the temperature is lower or higher than its optimal temperature range (Woynarovich *et* *al.*, 2011). The SGR value during the maintenance period is still in optimal condition. The results of research by Sonay and Başçınar (2017) showed an SGR value of $2.61\pm0.04\%/day$ when rearing juvenile rainbow trout in monoculture.

The measurements showed that the fresh biomass in both treatments decreased until the end of the maintenance period. The final fresh biomass between the two treatments decreased until the end of the rearing period. The decrease in duckweed biomass occurred in all treatments until the end of the rearing period. However, at T1, there was an increase in biomass on the 30th day (Fig. 3). This decrease in duckweed biomass may have been influenced by water temperature, which was less than optimal for duckweed growth and density that was too high in all treatments, especially in the T2 treatment (360gr), and the absence of regular harvesting of L. minor plants during the maintenance period. Several factors can inhibit duckweed growth, including light intensity, water temperature, nutrients, pH, and mat density (Driever et al., 2005; Jaiprasert, 2018). The chlorosis process started on the 10th day in all treatment tanks. This chlorosis process can be caused by the influence of abiotic factors in the form of water temperature that is not optimal and biotic factors in the form of high biomass density, which reduces the level of nutrient absorption. This follows the results of Chakrabarti et al. (2018), where production from duckweed decreased when the water temperature was less than 18.5°C. Lemna growth slows down during winter in temperate climates. Lemna and Landoltia species experienced a decrease in average

growth during winter. Meanwhile. Spirodella and Wolffia species died and formed turions at the bottom of the waters (Zhao et al., 2014). In addition to temperature conditions, slow growth can also be caused by plant density (Driever et al., 2005; Mkandawire and Dudel, 2007). The research results from Paolacci et al. (2018) showed that water temperature affects the RGR of L. minor and L. minuta, where the RGR of both will decrease gradually at low temperatures compared to high temperatures with a maximum RGR at 20°C. Regular harvesting is also important because it can increase duckweed growth and nutrient removal (Xu and Shen, 2011) and reduce the release of ammonium and originating phosphorus from the decomposition of dead plant tissue (Sudiarto et al., 2019).

During the 30-day rearing period, freshwater mussel growth experienced growth with an SGR value of 0.08% day-1 at T1 and 0.05% day-1 at T2. Generally, freshwater mussels gave similar results in the two treatments. The TDS value in both treatments during the maintenance period was lower than in the sump tank (Table 3). Anodonta is a genus of freshwater mussels widely used as a biofilter in aquaculture activities. Freshwater mussels are filter feeders that can absorb waste from aquaculture. The absorption rate of mussels and ovsters in absorbing aquaculture waste in the form of (particulate-N) is more significant than 0.3 mg day⁻¹ gr⁻¹ tissue wet weight with assimilation efficiency between 18-26%. The absorption rate of shellfish is influenced by several factors, including flow rate, food concentration and water temperature (Shpigel and Blaylock,

1991), pH value, water velocity, and turbidity (Tuttle-Raycraft and Ackerman, 2018).

Conclusions

The present study showed that an integrated living organism biofilter between duckweed (*L. minor*) and freshwater mussel (*A. cygnea*) could reduce the concentration of ammonium and total phosphorus in wastewater from rainbow trout juvenile rearing at a water temperature of around 13-14°C. However, using different fresh biomass weights affected the percentage of fresh biomass produced, with the best percentage increase in biomass found in treatment T1 (270g).

Acknowledgments

We thank Istanbul University, the Faculty of Aquatic Sciences, and the Limnology Laboratory staff who helped us measure the water parameters. The Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit of Istanbul University supported this work. Project numbers: FDK-2022-39219.

References

- Akratos, C.S. and Tsihrintzis, V.A., 2007. Effect of temperature, HRT, vegetation and porous media on removal efficiency of pilot-scale horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands. *Ecological Engineering*, 29, 173–191. DOI:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2006.06.013
- American Public Health Association (APHA), 2017. Standard methods examination of water and water wastewater. 23rd edition. Washington DC: American Public Health Association. USA. 1546 P.

- Ardiansyah., 2018. Efficacy of duckweed (*Lemna minor* Linnaeus) integrated in barramundi recirculating aquaculture system (RAS). Dissertation, Curtin University.
- Asimi, O.A., Khan, A., Bhat, A. and Husain, N., 2018. Duckweed (Lemna minor) as a plant protein source in the diet of common carp (Cyprinus carpio) fingerlings. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 7, 42–45.
- Barton, B.A., 1996, General biology of salmonids. In: Pennel, W., Barton, B.A. (Eds.), Principles of Salmonid Culture. Elsevier, Amsterdam. pp 29–96.
- Bianchini Jr. I., Cunha-Santino, M. and Panhota, R., 2011. Oxygen uptake from aquatic macrophyte decomposition from Piraju Reservoir (Piraju, SP, Brazil). Brazilian Journal of Biology, 71, 27–35.
- Boyd, C.E., 2003. Bottom soil and water quality management in shrimp ponds. *Journal of Applied Aquaculture*, 13(1–2), 11–33. DOI:10.1300/J028v13n01 02
- Boyd, C.E., 2014. Silicon, diatoms in aquaculture. *Global Aquaculture Advocate*, 38-39.
- Boyd, C.E., 2015. Overview of water pollution. Water quality and introduction. Springer International Publishing Switzerland. 354 P. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-17446-4_1
- Caicedo, J.R., Van Der Steen N.P. and Arce O, Gijzen, H.J., 2000. Effect of total ammonia nitrogen concentration and pH on growth rates of duckweed (*Spirodela polyrrhiza*). Water Resources, 34, 3829–3835. DOI:10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00128-7

- Cedergreen, N. and Madsen T.V., 2002. Nitrogen uptake by the floating macrophyte *Lemna minor*. *New Phytologist*, 155, 285–292.
- Çelikkale, S., Düzgüneş, E. and Okumuş, İ., .1999. Türkiye'de Su Ürünleri Sektörü; Potansiyeli, Mevcut Durumu, Sorunları ve Çözüm Önerileri. İstanbul Ticaret Odası. Türkiye, 397 P.
- Çelikkale, S., Düzgüneş, E. and Okumuş, İ., 1999. Türkiye su ürünleri sektörü: Potansiyeli, Mevcut durumu, sorumları ve çözüm önerileri. İstanbul Ticaret Odası. pp 113-114.
- Chakrabarti, R., Clark, W.D., Sharma, J.G., Goswami, R.K., Shrivastav, A.K. and Tocher, D.R., 2018. Mass production of *Lemna minor* and its amino acid and fatty acid profiles. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 6, (479) 1–16. DOI: 10.3389/fchem.2018.00479.
- Cheng, J., Landesman, L., Bergmann, B.A., Classen, J., Howard, J.W. and Yamamoto, Y.T., 2002. Nutrient removal from swine lagoon liquid by *Lemna minor* 8627. *American Society of Agricultural Engineers*, 45(4),1003– 1010. DOI: 10.13031/2013.9953
- Cremen, M.C.M., Martinez-Goss, M.R., Corre, V.L. and Azanza, R.V., 2007. Phytoplankton bloom in commercial shrimp ponds using green-water technology. Journal of Applied Phycology, 19. 615-624. DOI: 10.1007/s10811-007-9210-7
- Culley, D.D., Rejmánková, E., Květ, J. and Frye, J.B., 1981. Production, chemical quality, and use of duckweeds (*Lemnaceae*) in aquaculture, waste management, and animal feeds. *Journal*

of the World Mariculture Society, 12, 27–49.

- Dauda, A.B., Ajadi, A., Tola-Fabunmi,
 A.S. and Akinwole, A.O., 2019. Waste production in aquaculture: Sources, components, and managements in different culture systems. *Aquaculture Fisheries*, 4, 81–88. DOI:10.1016/j.aaf.2018.10.002
- Driever, S.M., Van Nes, E.H. and Roijackers, R.M.M., 2005. Growth limitation of *Lemna minor* due to high plant density. *Aquatic Botany*, 81, 245– 251.

DOI:10.1016/j.aquabot.2004.12.002

- Durborow, R.M., Crosby, D.M. and Brunson, M.W., 1997. Nitrite in fish ponds. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center (SRAC), 462, 1-4.
- El-Kheir, W., Ismail, G., Farid, A.E., Tawfik, T. and Hammad, D., 2007. Assessment of the efficiency of duckweed (*Lemna gibba*) in wastewater treatment. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*, 9(5), 681–687.
- Emerenciano, M.G.C., Arnold, S. and Perrin, T., 2022. Sodium metasilicate supplementation in culture water on growth performance, water quality and economics of indoor commercial-scale biofloc-based *Litopenaeus vannamei* culture. *Aquaculture*, 560, 738566. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738566
- Ferdoushi, Z., Haque, F., Khan, S. and Haque, M., 2008. The effects of two aquatic floating macrophytes (*Lemna* and *Azolla*) as biofilters of nitrogen and phosphate in fish tanks. *Turkish Journal* of Fisheries Aquatic Sciences, 8, 253– 258.

- Gall, G. and Crandell, P., 1992. The rainbow trout. *Aquaculture*, 100, 1-10.
- Hall, J.R. and Martin, G., 2021. Filtration of dissolved organic nutrients from fish farm wastewater using a macroalgae biofilter. *WIT Trans Ecol Environ*, 250,73–80. DOI:10.2495/WRM210071
- Hargreaves, J.A. and Tucker, C.S., 2004. Managing ammonia in fish ponds. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center (SRAC), 4603, 1-8.
- Hasan, M. and Chakrabarti, R., 2009. Use of algae and aquatic macrophytes as feed in small-scale aquaculture: A Review. FAO Book, Rome. 123 P.
- Helmholz, H., Ruhnau, C., Pröfrock, D., Erbslöh, H.B. and Prange, A., 2016.
 Seasonal and annual variations in physiological and biochemical responses from transplanted marine bioindicator species *Mytilus* spp. During a long term field exposure experiment. *Science of Total Environment*, 565, 626– 636.

DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.202

- Hinshaw, J.M., 2000. Trout farming: carrying capacity and inventory management. *Southern Regional Aquaculture Center (SRAC)*, 222, 1-4.
- Hlaváč, D., Adámek, Z., Hartman, P. and Másílko, J., 2014. Effects of supplementary feeding in carp tanks on discharge water quality: A review. *Aquaculture International*, 22, 299–320. DOI:10.1007/s10499-013-9718-6
- Jaiprasert, A., 2018. Development of duckweed transformation technique for biological application. Thesis. Burapha University.
- Jones, A.B., Dennison, W.C. and Preston, N.P., 2001. Integrated

treatment of shrimp effluent by sedimentation, oyster filtration, and macroalgal absorption: a laboratory scale study. *Aquaculture*, 193, 155-178.

- Kalengo, L., Ge, H., Liu, N. and Wang, Z., 2021. The efficiency of aquatic macrophytes on the nitrogen and phosphorous uptake from pond effluents in different seasons. *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, 22(8),75–85.
- Kamalam, B.S., Rajesh, M. and Kaushik,
 S., 2020. Nutrition and feeding of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in fish nutrition and its relevance to human health. DOI: 10.1201/9781003107583-12
- Kara, Z., Yazar, K., Ekinci, H., Doğan. and Özer, A., 2022. The effects of ortho silicone applications on the acclimatization process of grapevine rootstocks. *Selcuk Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 36(2), 233-237.
- Khondker, M., Islam, A.K.M.N. and Makhnun, A.D., 1994. Lemna perpusilla: screening on Habitat limnology. Bangladesh Journal of Botany, 23, 99-106.
- Kroupova, H., Machova, J. and Svobodova, Z., 2005. Nitrite influence on fish: A review. *Veterinarni Medicina*, 50(11), 461–471.
- Kuschk, P., Wiessner, A., Kappelmeyer,
 U., Weissbrodt, E., Kastner, M. and
 Stottmeister, U., 2003. Annual cycle of nitrogen removal by a pilot-scale subsurface horizontal flow in a constructed wetland under moderate climate. *Water Research*, 37, 4236–4242. DOI:10.1016/S0043-1354(03)00163-5

- Landesman, L., Parker, N.C., Fedler, C.B. and Konikoff, M., 2005. Modeling duckweed growth in wastewater treatment systems. *Livestock of Research Rural Development*, 17(6), 1-8.
- Lasfar, S., Monette, F., Millette, L. and Azzouz, A., 2007. Intrinsic growth rate: A new approach to evaluate the effects of temperature, photoperiod, and phosphorus-nitrogen concentrations on duckweed growth under controlled eutrophication. *Water Research*, 41, 2333–2340.

DOI:10.1016/j.watres.2007.01.059

- Lee, C.G., Fletcher, T.D. and Sun, G., 2009. Nitrogen removal in constructed wetland systems. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 9(1), 11-22. DOI:10.1002/elsc.200800049
- Leng, R., Stambolie, J. and Bell, R., 1995. Duckweed - a potential high-protein feed resource for domestic animals and fish. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 7(1), 1-13.
- Lima, P., Carvalho, F., Vasconcelos, V. and Machado, J., 2004. Studies on growth in the early adult of the freshwater mussel, *Anodonta cygnea*. *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, 45(2), 117-125. DOI:10.1080/07924259.2004.9652581
- Lopes-Lima, M., Lima, P., Hinzmann, M. and Rocha, A., 2014. Selective feeding by *Anodonta cygnea* (Linnaeus, 1771): The effects of seasonal changes and nutritional demands. *Limnologica*, 44, 18-22.

DOI:10.1016/j.limno.2013.07.001

Milhazes-Cunha, H. and Otero, A., 2017. Valorization of aquaculture effluents with microalgae: The Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture concept. *Algal Research*, 24, 416–424. DOI: 10.1016/j.algal.2016.12.011

- Mkandawire M. and Dudel, E.G., 2007.AreLemnaphytoremediationagents?.Bioremediation,BiodiversityBioavailability, 1(1), 56-71.
- Muvea, F.M., Ogendi, G.M. and Omondi, S.O., 2019. Nutrient removal efficiency by floating macrophytes; *Lemna minor* and *Azolla pinnata* in a constructed wetland. *Global Journal of Environmental Science and Management*, 5(4), 415-430. DOI:10.22034/gjesm.2019.04.02
- Nafea, E.M.A., 2016. Characterization of environmental conditions required for production of livestock and fish fodder from duckweed (*Lemna gibba* L.). *Journal of Mediterranean Ecology*, 14, 5–11.
- Olaniyi, C.O. and Oladunjoye, I.O., 2012. replacement value of duckweed (*Lemna minor*) in Nile tilapia (*Oreoochromis niloticus*) diet. *Transnational Journal of Science and Technology*, 2(9), 54-62.
- Olle, M., 2017. The effect of silicon on the organically grown iceberg lettuce growth and quality. *Agraarteadus*, 2(28), 82–86. DOI:10.15159/jas.17.06
- Paolacci, S., Harrison, S. and Jansen, M.A.K., 2018. Are alien species necessarily stress sensitive? A case study on *Lemna minuta* and *Lemna minor*. *Flora*, 249, 31-39. DOI:10.1016/j.flora.2018.09.004

- Paolacci, S., Stejskal, V. and Jansen, M.A.K., 2021. Estimation of the potential of *Lemna minor* for effluent remediation in integrated multi-trophic aquaculture using newly developed synthetic aquaculture wastewater. *Aquaculture International*, 29, 2101– 2118. DOI: 10.1007/s10499-021-00736z
- Paterson, J.B., Camargo-Valero, M.A. and Baker, A., 2020. Uncoupling growth from phosphorus uptake in Lemna: Implications for use of duckweed in wastewater remediation and P recovery in temperate climates. *Food and Energy Security*, 9, 1–13. DOI:10.1002/fes3.244
- Pepe-Victoriano, R., Aravena-Ambrosetti, H. and Pepe-Vargas, P., 2021. Integrated culture of Oncorhynchus mykiss (rainbow trout) in pre-cordilleran sector under а recirculation system in northern Chile. In: Lu, Q. (Ed.), Salmon aquaculture. IntechOpen, UK, 14 P.
- Retnosari, D., Rejeki, S., Susilowati, T. and Ariyati, R.W., 2019. Filtration rate of organic matter by green mussel (*Perna viridis*) as a biofilter and the impact on growth and survival rate of tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*). *Journal Sains Akuakultur Tropis*, 3(1), 36-46.
- Salin, K.R. and Ataguba, G.A., 2018. Aquaculture and the environment: Towards sustainable aquaculture, applied environmental science and engineering for a sustainable suture. Springer International Publishing. 62 P. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-73257-2_1

- Shpigel, M. and Blaylock, R.A., 1991. The Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, as a biological filter for a marine fish aquaculture pond. *Aquaculture*, 92, 187– 197.
- Shpigel, M. and Neori, A., 2007. Microalgae, macroalgae, and bivalves as biofilters in land-based mariculture in Israel in ecological and genetic implications of aquaculture activities. Springer. 433–446. DOI: 10.1007/1-4020-3030-4_11
- Sipaúba-Tavares, LH., Fávero, E.G.P. and Braga, F.M.S., 2002. Utilization of macrophyte biofilter in effluent from aquaculture: I. Floating plant. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 62(4A),713–723.
- Sonay, F.D. and Başçınar, N., 2017. An investigation on the effects of juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) monoculture and duo-culture farming in freshwater and seawater on growth performance. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 16(1), 38-49. DOI: 10.22092/IJFS.2018.114638
- Sudiarto, S.I.A., Renggaman, A. and Choi, H.L., 2019. Floating aquatic plants for total nitrogen and phosphorus removal from treated swine wastewater and their biomass characteristics. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 231, 763–769. DOI:10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.10.070
- Summerfelt, R.C., 2000. Water quality considerations for aquaculture. Department of Animal Ecology, Iowa State University, Ames, USA. pp 2-7.
- **Sumohardjo, S., 2015.** Performance of duckweed (*Lemna minor*) as biofilter in recirculating aquaculture system.

Journal Ilmu Perikanan Tropis, 21(1), 86-94.

- Tchobanoglous, G., Burton, F.L. and Stensel, H.D., 2003. Wastewater engineering: Treatment and reuse, fourth ed. McGraw-Hill, Boston.
- Tuttle-Raycraft, S. and Ackerman, J.D., 2018. Does size matter? Particle size vs. quality in bivalve suspension feeding. *Freshwater Biology*, 63, 1560–1568.
- Van Rijn, J., 1996. The potential for integrated biological treatment systems in recirculating fish culture - A review. *Aquaculture*, 139,181–201.
- Velichkova, K.N. and Sirakov, I.N, 2013. Chromosomal studies of two different populations (Turkey) of *Luciobarbus* escherichii (Steindachner, 1897). *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and* Aquatic Sciences, 13, 447–452.
- Walstad, D.L., 1999. Ecology of the planted aquarium, 1st ed. Echinodorus Publishing, North Carolina, USA. 189 P.
- Woynarovich, A., Hoitsy, G. and Moth-Poulsen, T., 2011. Small-scale rainbow trout farming. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 561. FAO. Rome.189 P.
- Xu, J.L. and Shen, G.X., 2011. Growing duckweed in swine wastewater for nutrient recovery and biomass production. *Bioresource Technology*, 102, 848–853.
 DOI:10.1016/i biostech.2010.00.002

DOI:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.09.003

Yılmaz, E. and Günal, G., 2005. Use duckweed, *Lemna minor*, as a protein feedstuff in practical diets for common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*, fry. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 4, 105–109.

- Yusoff, F.M., Banerjee, S., Khatoon, H. and Shariff, M., 2011. Biological approaches in management of nitrogenous compounds in aquaculture systems. Dynamic Biochemistry, Process Biotechnology and Molecular Biology, 5(1), 21-31.
- Zhao, Y., Fang, Y., Jin, Y., Huang, J., Bao, S., Fu, T., He, Z., Wang, F., Wang, M. and Zhao, H., 2014. Pilotscale comparison of four duckweed strains from different genera for potential application in nutrient recovery from wastewater and valuable biomass production. *Plant Biology*, 17, 82–90. DOI:10.1111/plb.12204
- Zhou, Y., Yang, H., Zhang, S., Liu, Y., Zhang, S., Liu, Q., Xiang, J. and Zhang, F., 2006. Influence of filtering and biodeposition by the cultured scallop *Chlamys farreri* on benthicpelagic coupling in a eutrophic bay in China. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 317, 127–141.
- Zhou, Y., Zhang, S., Liu, Y. and Yang,
 H., 2014. Biologically induced deposition of fine suspended particles by filter-feeding bivalves in land-based industrial marine aquaculture wastewater. *PLoS One*, 9(9) e107798, 1-6.
- Zimmo, O.R., van der Steen, N.P. and Gijzen, H.J., 2005. Effect of organic surface load on process performance of pilot-scale algae and duckweed-based waste stabilization tanks. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 131, 587– 594.